nstructo	Isaac Emric	K			WVU STUDENT EV	/ALUA II	ION OF IN	NSTRUCT	ION: RE	PORT	JF KESUL	.15					Summer	Sessio
CRN:	51205			COURSE: HIST 153 7D1								STUDENTS RESPONDING:						
Course Is?			Class status?		Miss class?			Learn?				Grade?					other course	
	udies Req	1	Freshman	0	3 or Less 4 to 6	12 0		Very Little			•	A B		6 6		ch More	ro	2 6
ajor Red ajor Ele	quirement ctive	7 3	Sophomore Junior	4	4 to 6 More than 6 Omit	0	Some More than Averag Quite A Lot		Average	3		С		6		newhat More out The Same s Than		6 5
eneral E		2	Senior	4		0			•		-	D		0			110	0
mit		0	Graduate	1				Omit		(	0	F		0	Om			0
			Omit	0								Omit		0				
						RESPONSE FREQUENCIES					,			CLASS NO			′%)	
						NA	Rarely		Usually	Freq.	Always	Omit	Mean	Med	StdDev	Unv	Col	
	The instru	ictor was prep	ared and organized f	for each class session.		4	0	0	1	2	6	0	4.56	5	0.73	N/A	N/A	
	Tests and	or assignmer	nts were fair:			0	1	0	3	3	6	0	4.00	4	1.22	N/A	N/A	
	Grading w	vas fair:				0	1	1	2	6	3	0	3.69	4	1.18	N/A	N/A	
	The instru	ctor provided	useful feedback rega	arding performance.		1	1	1	2	4	4	0	3.75	4	1.29	N/A	N/A	
	The instru	ctor returned	tests/assignments in	a timely manner:		0	1	1	4	4	3	0	3.54	4	1.20	N/A	N/A	
			lable outside of class	, during office hours or b	y appointment, to discuss	4	0	0	1	2	6	0	4.56	5	0.73	N/A	N/A	
A047		ted matters: ictor showed r	mastery of the subjec	ct matter.		1	0	1	2	0	9	0	4.42	5	1.08	N/A	N/A	
A063	3 The instru	ictor showed e	enthusiasm when tea	ching.		6	1	0	0	2	4	0	4.14	5	1.46	N/A	N/A	
B006	6 A positive	atmosphere v	was maintained in thi	s class.		6	1	0	0	1	5	0	4.29	5	1.50	N/A	N/A	
F004	4 The cours	se was well org	ganized.			1	2	0	0	2	8	0	4.17	5	1.53	N/A	N/A	
L003	3 I would re	commend this	instructor to a fellow	v student.		0	2	0	1	4	6	0	3.92	4	1.44	N/A	N/A	
Y003	3 The online	e course kept	my interest.			0	1	0	1	5	6	0	4.15	4	1.14	N/A	N/A	
3 Y004				r in a traditional classroo	om, I still felt like I was part	0	1	0	2	4	6	0	4.08	4	1.19	N/A	N/A	
Y007	7 The cours	of a group in the online course.  The course goals, learning objectives and outcome were made clear at the beginning of the		0	1	0	2	1	9	0	4.31	5	1.25	N/A	N/A			
Y012	course.  The instructor responded to inquiries in a designated or reasonable time frame.		0	1	1	3	2	6	0	3.85	4	1.34	N/A	N/A				
5 Y018	8 I will reco	mmend online	/technology enhance	ed courses to other stude	ents.	0	1	0	2	2	8	0	4.23	5	1.24	N/A	N/A	
																	··	
						NA	RESPONSE FREQUENCI Poor Fair Satisf. (			CIES Good	Excellen	Omit	Mean	CLASS Med	StdDev	NORM Unv	(%) Col	
	The clarity	y of the syllabi	us in describing the c	course content, requireme	ents, objectives and	0	1	0 0	2	2	8	0	4.23	5	1.24	N/A	N/A	
	evaluation	techniques w		•	• •	0	1	0	1	2	9	0	4.38	5	1.19	N/A	N/A	
			ctor's speech was:	<u> </u>		6	0	0	1	3	3	0	4.29	4	0.76	N/A	N/A	
	•	•	•	answering questions was	s·	1	1	1	0	5	5	0	4.00	4	1.28	N/A	N/A	
	The instructor's teaching effectiveness was:					2	1	1	2	4	3	0	3.64	4	1.29	N/A	N/A	
	Considering the course objects, organization, quality of materials, class presentations, tests,					0	1	0	2	5	5	0	4.00	4	1.15	N/A	N/A	
	course po	licies, etc., my	overall rating of the		iss presentations, tests,			•				0		4				
	Overall, m	ny learning in t	this course was:			0	2	0	2	3	6	U	3.85	4	1.46	N/A	N/A	

COURSE: HIST 153 7D1

13

CRN: 51205

Email: iemrick@mix.wvu.edu

EXCELLENT, and/or comment on other valuable aspects of the

Expand on the above items on which you rated your instructor

Expand on the above items on which you gave your instructor a relatively LOW rating, and/or comment on the problem areas with the course or instructor. Be specific; suggest ways in which the

course or instructor may be

The instructor was there to help his students out in any way, shape, or form.

Some of the questions on the test weren't fair to ask.

No Response Given 2

course and instructor.

No Response Given

The professor was an excellent online instructor. He always contacted me back on a regular 3 basis when I needed "it." Overall, a fantastic teacher who really cared.

N/A.

No Response Given

There weren't any areas that I thought were excellent. The podcasts were interesting, and the book wasn't too bad. But there wasn't an area that I was too impressed with. There wasn't much feed back either on how we were doing. It was basically a teach-yourself class that I paid \$650 for.

I thought the group discussions were very shallow. A typical group "discussion" would go like this:

Question: Describe the Reconstruction's effect on the blacks who lived in the South.

Answer: "I think racism is bad, and it hurt black people."

Response 1: "Yeah I think racism is bad too."

Response 2: "I liked how you said racism is bad."

Response 3: "Good point about racism."

I mean, we are required to respond 4 or 5 times a discussion to the posts other people make, but come on. What am supposed to do with that? Most of the comments in the discussion group are pretty meaningless. I tried to make comments that had some thought to them. I probably lost a lot of points due to not posting 40 worthless comments like I showed above.

Also, I thought there was a significant portion of the grade for the class given to Essays that we needed to write. I thought they were graded VERY toughly for a 100 level History class. I am not a writer nor a journalist, and its not even an English class. But I will have learned all the major topics that this class wanted to teach me. Yet I lost a lot of points due to not knowing how much detail was needed, especially on the Exams. Both the Short Answer (what that means was not explained-if you think its a paragraph or two then you'd be wrong), or Essay parts of the Exams were graded very toughly.

This class was very well organized and all of the online content was very helpful! 8

My only complaint of this class is that I did not feel that the instructor explained what he wanted clearly enough on the tests and some of the written assignments. For example on a test we would have to answer an essay question or short answer, although in my opinion I thought it was answered, I would always lose points. The instructor needs to clearly state what exactly he is looking for.

course or instructor may be No Response Given No Response Given 9 very helfpful in answering questions No Response Given 10 The grading is not explained, more than once I had to go through tests and correct the grading. 11 Maps were so confusing I googled parts if it, because it was not to be found in this book. Nothing is thoroughly explained, especially timing of assignments. This class was difficult for me to even complete because I felt I was wasting my time on busy work and not getting to explore the history. 12 No Response Given No Response Given

No Response Given

Expand on the above items on which you gave your instructor a

the course or instructor. Be specific; suggest ways in which the

relatively LOW rating, and/or comment on the problem areas with

Expand on the above items on which you rated your instructor

course and instructor.

No Response Given

13

EXCELLENT, and/or comment on other valuable aspects of the