Instructor: Isaac Emrick
Course: HIST 152 011

WVU eSEI: SURVEY RESULTS ANALYSIS

CRN:83915 Term:Fall 2010

iemrick@mix.wvu.edu # of Repsonses:26 Email: Course Is? Class status? Miss class? Learn? Grade? Compared to other courses? Liberal Studies Req 9 Freshman 16 3 or Less 22 Very Little 2 Α 6 Much More 7 10 8 4 to 6 3 7 В 17 Somewhat More 8 Major Requirement Sophomore Some 0 2 More than 6 13 С 2 About The Same 8 More than Average Major Elective Junior 6 Senior 0 Omit Ω Quite A Lot D Λ Less Than 2 General Elective 4 Graduate 0 Omit 0 F 0 Omit Omit 0 Omit Omit NORM(%) RESPONSE FREQUENCIES CLASS. NA Seldom Usually Always Omit Mean Med StdDev Unv Col The instructor was prepared and organized for each class session. 0 5 14 5 17 14 0 5 4.28 0.94 2 Tests and/or assignments were fair: 0 3 3 6 7 0 3.42 3.5 1.33 6 5 3 Grading was fair: 0 5 6 11 3.92 4 1.19 12 12 9 The instructor provided useful feedback regarding performance. 5 3.58 4 1.47 11 11 5 1 The instructor returned tests/assignments in a timely manner: 3 3 5 10 3.64 4 1.44 9 7 5 The instructor was available outside of class, during office hours or by appointment, to discuss 2 9 11 4.12 4 1.12 14 11 class-related matters: 0 4.23 5 0.95 12 10 A047 The instructor showed mastery of the subject matter. 0 0 6 5 14 5 40 A063 The instructor showed enthusiasm when teaching. n 0 2 4 19 0 4.58 0.81 38 4.48 5 0.71 30 31 A positive atmosphere was maintained in this class. 0 0 0 3 7 15 1 The course was well organized. n 0 8 9 3.96 4 1.02 14 14 10 3.60 4 1.50 12 12 11 L003 I would recommend this instructor to a fellow student. 10 1 0 4 1.44 13 11 A002 The instructor's lectures broadened my knowledge of the area beyond the information presented 0 3 3 6 12 3.81 12 in the readings. 0 5 0.89 24 23 4.35 The instructor encouraged independent thought. 0 0 0 3 16 A018 0 0.91 4 6 4.12 4 14 A119 The instructor taught the student to question current thinking or accepted 'truths' when 0 0 8 11 6 4.52 5 0.82 24 22 15 B043 The instructor stimulated and wanted student participation. n 0 2 5 17 3.88 4 1.20 10 6 16 Q008 The procedures for grading were fair. 0 2 0 6 10 RESPONSE FREQUENCIES **CLASS** NORM(%) NA Poor Fair Satisf. Good Excellent Omit Mean Med StdDev Unv Col The clarity of the syllabus in describing the course content, requirements, objectives and 0 1 5 5 13 4.12 5 1.13 19 17 evaluation techniques was: 4.16 1.07 20 18 The instructor's adherence to the syllabus was: 0 8 12 1 4 1 3 5 0.95 22 21 The clarity of the instructor's speech was: 9 1 4.32 3 0 0 2 13 1 3.92 4 1.29 14 13 The instructor's clarity and completeness in answering questions was: 0 2 2 3 7 11 1 3.60 4 1.38 11 10 The instructor's teaching effectiveness was: 4 3 10 1 3.56 4 1.29 11 10 Considering the course objects, organization, quality of materials, class presentations, tests, 0 3 3 13 5 course policies, etc., my overall rating of the course is: 0 3.69 4 1.29 15 14 7 Overall, my learning in this course was: 0 2 2 10 8